UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,046	10/01/2004	Bertram Cezanne	MERCK-2925	8332
	7590 06/26/2007 ITE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLÁRENDÓN BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			GALLIS, DAVID E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/510,046	CEZANNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. Gallis	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subjected to:						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Description of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 through 21, 25, 26, and 28, drawn to compounds of formula (I) and pharmaceutical compositions of compounds of formula (I).

Group II, claim 30, drawn to intermediates of formula VI.

Group III, claim 22, drawn to a process of preparing compounds of formula (I).

Group IV, claim(s) 23, 24, 27, and 29, drawn to the use of compounds of formula (I).

- 1. The inventions listed as Groups I through IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 2. Claim 30 is drawn to "Intermediates of formula VI" and reads with no dependency on subject matter claimed in any other claim. Therefore, claim 30 is not linked to the other claims through a single inventive concept or special technical feature.

Additionally, the technical feature linking Groups I through IV lacks novelty as a acetamide derivative compound. Grieco et al. rećite N-(phenylmethyl)-benzeneacetamide (Reg No. 7500-45-0) in their synthetic work (see J. Org Chem, 1981, 46, page 1217, column 1, line 7). This compound reads on formula (I) of claim 1

Art Unit: 1609

wherein D is absent,  $R^1$  is H, M is phenyl, W is  $-C(R^2)_2$ -,  $R^2$  is H, X is  $-CONR^2$ -, Y is alkylene (i.e.  $CH_2$ ), and T is aromatic carbocyclic (i.e. phenyl). This demonstrates lack of novelty with respect to the compound claimed. Therefore a technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I through IV does not constitute a special feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over prior art.

Accordingly, Groups I through IV are not linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,046 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1609** 

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David E. Gallis whose telephone number is 571-272-

9068. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-1600. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David E. Gallis

Patent Examiner

Cecilia J. Tsang Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600